
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/834,291	KRAMMER ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan, Examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Marianne Fuierer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 October 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T	e record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	e record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/834,291

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested amendment of the priority claim in the specification to indicate that the instant application is a continuation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. §120 rather than a national stage under 35 U.S.C. §371...